September 30, 2009

Page 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY Civil Action No. 08-143

In Regard to the Matter of:

Bayside State Prison OPINION/REPORT Litigation

OF THE SPECIAL MASTER

ALFRED McCARTER

-VS-

WILLIAM H. FAUVER, et al, Defendants.

WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 30, 2009

BEFORE THE HONORABLE JOHN W. BISSELL, SPECIAL MASTER

September 30, 2009

```
Page 2
 1
 2
 3
 4
 5
                     Transcript of proceedings in the above
 6
     matter taken by Theresa O. Mastroianni, Certified
 7
     Court Reporter, license number 30X100085700, and
 8
     Notary Public of the State of New Jersey at the
 9
     United States District Court House, One Gerry Plaza,
10
     Camden, New Jersey, 08102, commencing at 11:30 AM.
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
                 MASTROIANNI & FORMAROLI, INC.
20
        Certified Court Reporting & Videoconferencing
21
                    251 South White Horse Pike
22
                    Audubon, New Jersey 08106
23
                           856-546-1100
24
25
```

September 30, 2009

```
Page 3
 1
 2
     APPEARANCES:
 3
 4
           RODNEY D. RAY, ESQUIRE
           32 NORTH BLACK HORSE PIKE
           BLACKWOOD, NEW JERSEY 08012
 5
           856-232-3337
           856-232-4561
 6
           ATTORNEYS FOR THE PLAINTIFFS
 7
 8
 9
           ROSELLI, GRIEGEL, LOZIER & LAZZARO, PC
                STEVEN GRIEGEL, ESQUIRE
10
                - and -
                KENNETH W. LOZIER ESQUIRE
           BY:
           1337 STATE HIGHWAY 33
11
           HAMILTON SQUARE, NEW JERSEY 08690
12
           609-586-2257
           ATTORNEYS FOR THE DEFENDANTS
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

- 1 THE COURT: I am reopening proceedings
- 2 in the matter of Alfred McCarter. And that's docket
- 3 number 08-143.
- 4 This opinion report is being issued
- 5 pursuant to the directives of the Order of Reference
- 6 to a Special Master and the Special Master's
- 7 Agreement and the guiding principles of law which
- 8 underlie this decision to be applied to the facts
- 9 upon which it is based as set forth in the jury
- 10 instructions in the Walker and Mejias jury charges to
- 11 the extent applicable to the allegations of
- 12 Mr. McCarter.
- 13 As finalized after review under Local
- 14 Civil Rule 52.1, this transcript will constitute the
- written report required under paragraph seven of the
- 16 Order of Reference to a Special Master.
- 17 Alfred McCarter was housed on F Unit
- 18 and describes certain events that he alleges occurred
- 19 to him on August 1, 1997. He recounts first about a
- 20 confrontation, a scuffle, regarding Inmate Torres,
- 21 also known as King Boogie. And indicates that SOG
- 22 officers were called to the location in F Unit in
- order to handle the transportation of Mr. Torres off
- that unit after he had been subdued by Bayside
- officers who were on the premises.

- The log book, D-29, reflects that
- 2 between 3:55 and 4:05 PM the SOG unit responded and
- 3 effectuated the removal of Mr. Torres from F Unit.
- 4 Therefore, at least according to the log, the SOGs
- 5 would only have been in that area for approximately
- 6 ten minutes total.
- 7 Mr. McCarter then testifies about an
- 8 alleged incident in which the SOGs, part of the unit
- 9 sent to secure and remove Mr. Torres, apparently took
- 10 a side trip into his cell. And he describes it as
- 11 follows beginning at page 56, line 11 of his
- 12 transcript generated on March 12, 2009.
- 13 "Ouestion: Give me an estimate of time
- 14 between the time you observed Mr. Boogie being
- assaulted and the time when the SOG officers came to
- 16 your cell door.
- 17 "Answer: Five minutes.
- "Question: And how many officers
- 19 approached your cell?
- 20 "Answer: Well, there was two at the
- 21 door, but there was a whole lot of officers on the
- 22 unit.
- "Question: And did they give you any
- 24 orders?
- 25 "Answer: Strip down, kneel on the

```
Page 6
     floor, place your hand behind your head, cross your
 1
     ankles and lace your fingers behind your head and
 2
     face the window.
 3
                    "Question: And did you do that?
 4
                   "Answer: Yes.
 5
 6
                    "Question: And then what happened?
 7
                   "Answer: Then they opened the door and
     instructed both of us to get on bunks. My bunkie
 8
     first, because he was on the top bunk, then I got on
 9
10
     the bunk. Once again, you lay with your hands
     interlaced behind your head, facing the wall.
11
                   "Question: Okay. And then what
12
13
     happened?
                   "Answer: For whatever reason, he hit
14
15
     me.
                   "Ouestion: And one of the two SOG
16
     officers struck you?
17
                   "Answer: Yeah.
18
                   "Question: How many times?
19
20
                   "Answer: I would say over three.
                   "Question: Where were you hit?
21
22
                   "Answer: In my lower back.
                   "Question: With what were you hit?
23
                   "Answer: A baton.
24
                   "Question: And these strikes, were
25
```

```
Page 7
     they preceded by any command to you?
 1
 2
                    "Answer:
                              No.
                    "Question: Any statements made to you
 3
     regarding the purpose of why they were going to hit
 4
     you? Any threats they were going to hit you?
 5
                    "Answer: No.
 6
 7
                    "Question: Did you say anything to
 8
     them when you were struck?
 9
                    "Answer: No."
                   That basically is the recounting of the
10
     events in question.
11
                    He also testified later on about the
12
13
     nature of the injuries from this particular incident,
14
     and even though he noticed that because he was on the
     lower bunk and the ability of the officer to swing
15
     the club was restricted, nevertheless he had
16
17
     recurrent and long-lasting lower back pain, according
18
     to his testimony.
                   However, there are certain other
19
     features of the evidence introduced in the course of
20
     this case that cast considerable doubt on the
21
     accuracy of this testimony and support a
22
     determination that the plaintiff has failed to
23
     sustain his burden of proving the assault against
24
25
     him.
```

1 On at least one occasion in the course 2 of his cross-examination without any hesitation he 3 testified that the SOG officers there were wearing This record as developed in the course of 4 ski masks. 5 these proceedings has indicated that the SOG officers did not wear ski masks. One, it wasn't a part of 6 their standard uniform. And two, as we know, we were 7 in the middle of August of 1997; so a ski mask is an 8 9 extremely uncomfortable piece of attire for that time 10 of year. 11 Mr. McCarter was equally sure that 12 Officer Platt, the housing officer assigned to F Unit at that time, was involved in the scuffle with Mr. 13 14 However, pursuant to the incident reports Torres. 15 filed by officers who were involved in that scuffle, 16 Officer Platt was not involved in that. Furthermore, Officer Platt testified here that as housing officer 17 18 he remained in the area of the podium throughout that 19 day, his main assignment. 20 And finally, at least in this regard, I 21 find that the ability of the SOG officers to stage a foray into a particular cell was extremely limited, 22 if not non-existent. Let us remember in the first 23 instance here that Mr. Torres was not being extracted 24 25 from a cell. He had acted out while outside of his

- 1 cell, my best recollection is in the hallway on the
- 2 way to the use of a telephone. Torres had been
- 3 subdued and was now to be removed by SOG. There was
- 4 no reason, therefore, for SOG to go into any cells
- 5 and hence no reason for the Bayside officers to
- 6 activate the unlocking mechanisms that the cells
- 7 required. But more than that, even if there had been
- 8 a reason for the cells to be unlocked, SOG officers
- 9 would not have just been able to waltz down the
- 10 hallway and into a cell.
- 11 As testified to by Officer Platt
- 12 beginning at page 16 of his testimony on April 16th,
- 13 2009 at line six.
- "Question: Now, the plaintiff also
- 15 testified on this -- on the same day of the incident
- 16 involving Inmate Torres that two SOG officers came to
- 17 his cell unescorted by any Bayside officers and
- 18 opened his cell and they proceeded to assault him,
- 19 okay. As the housing officer, would the two SOG
- 20 officers be able to go to an inmate's cell and open
- 21 the cell by themselves?
- 22 "Answer: No.
- "Question: Why not?
- 24 "Answer: Because I controlled the
- 25 paracentric keys that opened individual -- all the

Page 10 individual cells. 1 "Question: And did it require a second 2 officer to assist in opening the cells? 3 "Answer: Yes. 4 "Ouestion: And how was that done? 5 "Answer: I would either call a 6 supervisor and get a GA over, a general assignment 7 officer, and they would come over and assist. 8 "Ouestion: Would they have to use a 9 hand key to open the cell as well? 10 "Answer: Yes. I would more than 11 likely have given them a key because then I would 12 have had to unsnap the line at the locking device. 13 "Question: Right. 14 "Answer: Up at the podium. 15 "Ouestion: Okay. And then what would 16 the other officer had to have done? 17 "Answer: He would have had to went 18 down the tier and physically turn the key of the 19 20 cell. "Ouestion: To open the cell door? 21 "Answer: To open the cell door. 22

"Question: Now, as a housing officer, would you have allowed two SOG officers to go down to a cell unescorted to open up a cell door?

Page 11 1 "Answer: No. 2 "Question: Is that something that to 3 the best of your recollection that occurred at the 4 time that you were working in a unit? 5 "Answer: No. Excuse me? "Question: Sure. To the best of your 6 recollection, again, is that something that you 8 allowed to occur at the time that you were working as 9 a housing officer? "Answer: No." 10 11 So it's quite clear, one, the SOG 12 officers were not wearing ski masks. Two, there was 13 no reason for the entry into the cells that might 14 have followed the unlocking of the tier or of any 15 individual cells. And three, the SOG officers would not have been permitted to essentially be given a key 16 and go down the hallway themselves for the purpose of 17 18 unlocking and entering a cell. 19 In addition to that, there is nothing 20 in this record which would indicate any reason 21 whatsoever for the SOG team to have been there for 22 any purpose other than to extract Mr. Torres and, in 23 fact, the time frame of their presence there 24 (approximately ten minutes) is the time frame which I

find would be necessary to devote themselves to those

25

```
Page 12
     duties, namely securing Mr. Torres and then
 1
      effectuating his removal from F Unit.
 2
 3
                    Finally, although not every item of
 4
     evidence has been discussed in this opinion/report,
 5
     all evidence presented to the Special Master was
     reviewed and considered.
 6
 7
                    For the reasons set forth above, I
 8
     recommend in this report that the district court
 9
     enter an order and judgment of no cause for action
10
     with regard to Alfred McCarter.
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

Page 13 1 CERTIFICATE 2 3 I, Theresa O. Mastroianni, a Notary Public and Certified Shorthand Reporter of the State of New 4 Jersey, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a 5 6 true and accurate transcript of the testimony as 7 taken stenographically by and before me at the time, place, and on the date hereinbefore set forth. 8 9 I DO FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither a 10 relative nor employee nor attorney nor counsel of any 11 of the parties to this action, and that I am neither 12 a relative nor employee of such attorney or counsel, 13 and that I am not financially interested in the 14 action. 15 16 17 18 19 Theresa O. Mastroianni, C.S.R. 20 Notary Public, State of New Jersey My Commission Expires May 5, 2010 21 Certificate No. XIO857 Date: October 1, 2009 22 23 24 25

		1		1
A	ATTORNEYS	Certified 2:6,20	district 1:1,2 2:9	filed 8:15
ability 7:15 8:21	3:6,12	13:4	12:8	finalized 4:13
able 9:9,20	Audubon 2:22	certify 13:5,9	docket 4:2	finally 8:20 12:3
accuracy 7:22	August 4:19 8:8	charges 4:10	door 5:16,21 6:7	financially
accurate 13:6	wax	Civil 1:2 4:14	10:21,22,25	13:13
acted 8:25	B	clear 11:11	doubt 7:21	find 8:21 11:25
action 1:2 12:9	back 6:22 7:17	club 7:16	duties 12:1	fingers 6:2
13:11,14	based 4:9	come 10:8	D-29 5:1	first 4:19 6:9
activate 9:6	basically 7:10	command 7:1		8:23
addition 11:19	baton 6:24	commencing	<u> </u>	Five 5:17
Agreement 4:7	Bayside 1:5 4:24	2:10	E 3:2,2 13:1,1	floor 6:1
al 1:9	9:5,17	Commission	effectuated 5:3	followed 11:14
Alfred 1:7 4:2	beginning 5:11	13:20	effectuating	follows 5:11
4:17 12:10	9:12	confrontation	12:2	foray 8:22
allegations 4:11	best 9:1 11:3,6	4:20	either 10:6	foregoing 13:5
alleged 5:8	BISSELL 1:21	considerable	employee 13:10	FORMAROLI
alleges 4:18	BLACK 3:4	7:21	13:12	2:19
allowed 10:24	BLACKWOOD	considered 12:6	enter 12:9	forth 4:9 12:7
11:8	3:5	constitute 4:14	entering 11:18	13:8
ankles 6:2	Boogie 4:21 5:14	controlled 9:24	entry 11:13	frame 11:23,24
Answer 5:17,20	book 5:1	counsel 13:10,12	equally 8:11	FURTHER 13:9
5:25 6:5,7,14	bunk 6:9,10	course 7:20 8:1	ESQUIRE 3:4,9	Furthermore
6:18,20,22,24	7:15	8:4	3:10	8:16
7:2,6,9 9:22,24	bunkie 6:8	court 1:1 2:7,9	essentially 11:16	
10:4,6,11,15	bunks 6:8	2:20 4:1 12:8	estimate 5:13	G
10:18,22 11:1	burden 7:24	cross 6:1	et 1:9	GA 10:7
11:5,10		cross-examina	events 4:18 7:11	general 10:7
apparently 5:9	C	8:2	evidence 7:20	generated 5:12
applicable 4:11	C 3:2 13:1,1	C.S.R 13:19	12:4,5	Gerry 2:9
applied 4:8	call 10:6		Excuse 11:5	give 5:13,23
approached	called 4:22	D	Expires 13:20	given 10:12
5:19	Camden 2:10	D 3:4	extent 4:11	11:16
approximately	case 7:21	date 13:8,21	extract 11:22	go 9:4,20 10:24
5:5 11:24	cast 7:21	day 8:19 9:15	extracted 8:24	11:17
April 9:12	cause 12:9	decision 4:8	extremely 8:9,22	going 7:4,5
area 5:5 8:18	cell 5:10,16,19	Defendants 1:10		GRIEGEL 3:9,9
assault 7:24 9:18	8:22,25 9:1,10	3:12	F	guiding 4:7
assaulted 5:15	9:17,18,20,21	describes 4:18	F 4:17,22 5:3	
assigned 8:12	10:10,20,21,22	5:10	8:12 12:2 13:1	H
assignment 8:19	10:25,25 11:18	determination	face 6:3	H 1:9
10:7	cells 9:4,6,8 10:1	7:23	facing 6:11	hallway 9:1,10
assist 10:3,8	10:3 11:13,15	developed 8:4	fact 11:23	11:17
attire 8:9	certain 4:18	device 10:13	facts 4:8	HAMILTON
attorney 13:10	7:19	devote 11:25	failed 7:23	3:11
13:12	Certificate	directives 4:5	FAUVER 1:9	hand 6:1 10:10
1.7.12	13:21	discussed 12:4	features 7:20	handle 4:23

hands 6:10	2:22 3:5,11	Mastroianni 2:6	8:21 9:5,8,16	premises 4:25
happened 6:6,13	· ·	2:19 13:3,19	9:17,20 10:24	presence 11:23
head 6:1,2,11	JOHN 1:21	matter 1:4 2:6	11:12,15	presented 12:5
hereinbefore	judgment 12:9	4:2	okay 6:12 9:19	principles 4:7
13:8	jury 4:9,10	McCARTER	10:16	Prison 1:5
hesitation 8:2	and a second control of the second control o	1:7 4:2,12,17	Once 6:10	proceeded 9:18
HIGHWAY	K	5:7 8:11 12:10	open 9:20 10:10	proceedings 2:5
3:11	KENNETH 3:10	mechanisms 9:6	10:21,22,25	4:1 8:5
hit 6:14,21,23	key 10:10,12,19	Mejias 4:10	opened 6:7 9:18	proving 7:24
7:4,5	11:16	middle 8:8	9:25	Public 2:8 13:3
HONORABLE	keys 9:25	minutes 5:6,17	opening 10:3	13:20
1:21	King 4:21	11:24	opinion 4:4	purpose 7:4
Horse 2:21 3:4	kneel 5:25		opinion/report	11:17,22
House 2:9	know 8:7	<u>N</u>	1:5 12:4	pursuant 4:5
housed 4:17	known 4:21	N 3:2	order 4:5,16,23	8:14
housing 8:12,17	T	nature 7:13	12:9	
9:19 10:23	L	necessary 11:25	orders 5:24	Q
11:9	lace 6:2	neither 13:9,11	outside 8:25	question 5:13,18
	law 4:7	nevertheless		5:23 6:4,6,12
I	lay 6:10	7:16	<u>P</u>	6:16,19,21,23
incident 5:8 7:13	LAZZARO 3:9	New 1:2 2:8,10	P 3:2,2	6:25 7:3,7,11
8:14 9:15	license 2:7	2:22 3:5,11	page 5:11 9:12	9:14,23 10:2,5
indicate 11:20	limited 8:22	13:4,20	pain 7:17	10:9,14,16,21
indicated 8:5	line 5:11 9:13	non-existent	paracentric 9:25	10:23 11:2,6
indicates 4:21	10:13	8:23	paragraph 4:15	quite 11:11
individual 9:25	Litigation 1:6	NORTH 3:4	part 5:8 8:6	R
10:1 11:15	Local 4:13	Notary 2:8 13:3	particular 7:13	
injuries 7:13	location 4:22	13:20	8:22	R 3:2 13:1
Inmate 4:20	locking 10:13	noticed 7:14	parties 13:11	RAY 3:4
9:16	log 5:1,4	number 2:7 4:3	PC 3:9	reason 6:14 9:4
inmate's 9:20	long-lasting	0	permitted 11:16	9:5,8 11:13,20
instance 8:24	7:17		physically 10:19	reasons 12:7
instructed 6:8	lot 5:21	O 2:6 13:3,19	piece 8:9	recollection 9:1
instructions	lower 6:22 7:15	observed 5:14	Pike 2:21 3:4	11:3,7
4:10	7:17	occasion 8:1	place 6:1 13:8	recommend
interested 13:13	LOZIER 3:9,10	occur 11:8	plaintiff 7:23	12:8
interlaced 6:11	M	occurred 4:18	9:14	record 8:4 11:20
introduced 7:20	main 8:19	11:3	PLAINTIFFS	recounting 7:10
involved 8:13,15	March 5:12	October 13:21	3:6	recounts 4:19
8:16	mask 8:8	officer 7:15 8:12	Platt 8:12,16,17	recurrent 7:17
involving 9:16	masks 8:4,6	8:12,16,17,17	9:11	Reference 4:5
issued 4:4	11:12	9:11,19 10:3,8 10:17,23 11:9	Plaza 2:9	4:16 reflects 5:1
item 12:3	Master 1:6,21	officers 4:22,25	PM 5:2	
J	4:6,16 12:5	5:15,18,21	podium 8:18	regard 1:4 8:20 12:10
Jersey 1:2 2:8,10	Master's 4:6	6:17 8:3,5,15	10:15	
Jeisey 1.2 2.0,10		0.17 0.3,3,13	preceded 7:1	regarding 4:20

				·
7:4	10:24 11:11,15	times 6:19	way 9:2	30 1:15
relative 13:10,12	11:21	top 6:9	wear 8:6	30X100085700
remained 8:18	SOGs 5:4,8	Torres 4:20,23	wearing 8:3	2:7
remember 8:23	South 2:21	5:3,9 8:14,24	11:12	32 3:4
removal 5:3	Special 1:6,21	9:2,16 11:22	WEDNESDAY	33 3:11
12:2	4:6,6,16 12:5	12:1	1:15	33 3.11
remove 5:9	SQUARE 3:11	total 5:6	went 10:18	4
removed 9:3	stage 8:21	transcript 2:5	whatsoever	4:05 5:2
reopening 4:1	standard 8:7	4:14 5:12 13:6	1	
report 4:4,15	State 1:5 2:8	transportation	White 2:21	5
12:8	3:11 13:4,20	4:23	WILLIAM 1:9	5 13:20
Reporter 2:7	statements 7:3	trip 5:10	window 6:3	52.1 4:14
13:4	States 1:1 2:9	true 13:6	1	56 5:11
Reporting 2:20	stenographica	turn 10:19	working 11:4,8	
reports 8:14	13:7	4	written 4:15	6
require 10:2	STEVEN 3:9	two 5:20 6:16	X	609-586-2257
		8:7 9:16,19	XIO857 13:21	3:12
required 4:15 9:7	strikes 6:25	10:24 11:12	A1005/15.21	AMT
	Strip 5:25	U	Y	8
responded 5:2	struck 6:17 7:8	uncomfortable	Yeah 6:18	856-232-3337
restricted 7:16	subdued 4:24	8:9	year 8:10	3:5
review 4:13	9:3	1	year 6.10	856-232-4561
reviewed 12:6	supervisor 10:7	underlie 4:8	0	3:6
Right 10:14	support 7:22	unescorted 9:17	08-143 1:2 4:3	856-546-1100
RODNEY 3:4	sure 8:11 11:6	10:25	08012 3:5	2:23
ROSELLI 3:9	sustain 7:24	uniform 8:7	08102 2:10	2.23
Rule 4:14	swing 7:15	unit 4:17,22,24	08102 2:10 08106 2:22	
		5:2,3,8,22 8:12	08690 3:11	
S	T	11:4 12:2	00070 3.11	
S 3:2	T 13:1,1	United 1:1 2:9	1	
scuffle 4:20 8:13	taken 2:6 13:7	unlocked 9:8	14:19 13:21	
8:15	team 11:21	unlocking 9:6	11 5:11	
second 10:2	telephone 9:2	11:14,18	11:30 2:10	
secure 5:9	ten 5:6 11:24	unsnap 10:13	11.30 2.10 12 5:12	
securing 12:1	testified 7:12 8:3	use 9:2 10:9	1	
sent 5:9	8:17 9:11,15		1337 3:11	
SEPTEMBER	testifies 5:7	V	16 9:12	
1:15	testimony 7:18	Videoconfere	16th 9:12	
set 4:9 12:7 13:8	7:22 9:12 13:6	2:20	1997 4:19 8:8	
seven 4:15	Theresa 2:6 13:3	vs 1:8	2	
Shorthand 13:4	13:19			
side 5:10	threats 7:5	W	2009 1:15 5:12	
six 9:13	three 6:20 11:15	W 1:21 3:10	9:13 13:21	
1	tier 10:19 11:14	Walker 4:10	2010 13:20	
	time 5:13,14,15	wall 6:11	251 2:21	
6:16 8:3,5,21	8:9,13 11:4,8	waltz 9:9	?	
9:3,4,8,16,19	11:23,24 13:7	wasn't 8:6	3	
		-	3:55 5:2	